


¹ Plaintiff was proceeding *pro se* at the time the Report and Recommendation was issued. Plaintiff has since retained counsel. Plaintiff's counsel filed an appearance on July 30, 2019 (Docket No. 16).

Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge and agrees with the Report of the Magistrate Judge. *See United States v. Raddatz*, 447 U.S. 667, 683 (1980) (“[T]he statute permits the district court to give to the magistrate’s proposed findings of fact and recommendations ‘such weight as [their] merit commands and the sound discretion of the judge warrants’”) (quoting *Mathews v. Weber*, 23 U.S. 261, 275 (1976)). It is therefore

ORDERED that the report of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** and the Memorandum in Support of Emergency Preliminary Injunction & Temporary Restraining Order (Docket No. 9) is **DENIED**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 18th day of September, 2019.


ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE